

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/803,038

Applicant(s)

MORI ET AL.

Examiner

Christian E. Rendón

Art Unit

3714

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Christian E. Rendón.

(3) Kentaro Higuchi.

(2) Xuan Thai.

(4) Obert Chu.

Date of Interview: 17 October 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Harima.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant wish to discuss the newly amended claims before the filing of the Examiner's next response. The issues with claim 1 were address: new matter, the scope of the claim being Computer Graphics instead of the genre of Martial Arts Fighting Game in the genre of Video Games and the broadness of the claim language. The applicant argued to remove the new matter and address the other issues in a supplemental amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



XUAN M. THAI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/803,038 First Named Applicant: Tomohiro MORI
 Examiner: C. RENDON Art Unit: 3714 Status of Application: _____

Tentative Participants:

(1) Obert Chu (2) Kentaro Higuchi
 (3) Christian Eloy Rendón (4) Xuan Thai

Proposed Date of Interview: October 17, 2007 Proposed Time: 9:00 PM (AM/PM)

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☐ Telephonic (2) ☒ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☐ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Rej.</u>	<u>1, 8, 15, 16</u>	<u>Harima</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

The prior art does not teach or suggest each and every feature of independent claims 1, 8, 15 and 16 as
as discussed in Applicant's Amendment filed on September 21, 2007.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.



(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)